## Criteria and evaluation order of educational outcomes

The evaluation of the educational component is determined taking into account the results of the current educational activity of the student of higher education and evaluations of his assimilation of individual modules.

The success of each applicant of higher education is evaluated on a 100-point scale.

An applicant of higher education can receive 60 points for the current educational activity within the module. The maximum number of points that a student of higher education can score during the completion of the final control, taking into account the points for independent work, is 40 points.

Assessment of current educational activity (carried out during each lesson) control of theoretical knowledge, practical skills and abilities. When mastering each topic of content modules for the current educational activity, points for all types of activities are assigned to the applicants, which are added up at the end of studying the content module. Depending on the number of points scored, the applicant can receive a maximum of 60 points or a minimum of 35 points for studying the module in practical classes.

| Topic 1. | Task 1.1 | 1,5-2,5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Task 1.2 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 1.3 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 1.4 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 1.5 | 1,5-2,5 |
| Topic 2. | Task 2.1 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 2.2 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 2.3 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 2.4 | 1,5-2,5 |
| Topic 3 | Task 3.1 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 3.2 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 3.3 | 1,5-2,5 |
| Topic 4. | Task 4.1 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 4.2 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 4.3 | 1,5-2,5 |
| Topic 5. | Task 5.1 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 5.2 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 5.3 | 1,5-2,5 |
| Topic 6. | Task 6.1 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 6.2 | 1,5-2,5 |
| Topic 7. | Task 7.1 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 7.2 | 1,5-2,5 |
| Topic 8. | Task 8.1 | 1,5-2,5 |
|  | Task 8.2 | 1,5-2,5 |
| Semester credit of the module: |  | 25-40 |

The following scoring system is used for practical tasks:

| Evaluation <br> system, points | mark is put to the student, who: <br> - showed comprehensive, systematized, in-depth knowledge of <br> the program material when answering questions and knew how <br> to correctly interpret the obtained results; demonstrate <br> knowledge of the main and additional literature. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2,5 | mark is put to the student, who: <br> - demonstrated full knowledge of the program material when <br> answering a theoretical question provided at the level of <br> analogical reproduction, but made some minor mistakes. |
| 2 | mark is put to the student, who: <br> - showed insufficient deep knowledge of the main program <br> material when answering a question in writing. |
| 1,5 |  |

## Theoretical tasks

| 2,5 | The answer is completed in full, structured. The main issues of the topic <br> are correctly, deeply and comprehensively considered. The text is edited, <br> without grammatical errors. |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | Unclear and insufficiently complete presentation of the material of the <br> topic, errors in the design of the work, violation of the logical sequence <br> in the presentation of the material. |
| 1,5 | Significant errors in the presentation of information, insufficient volume <br> of work, not structured according to the plan, spelling errors, typos |

Control of the content module is carried out in the form of credit based on approved tickets. The module is considered to be passed if the student of higher education scored at least the minimum score.

The following scoring system is used to control the content module, the student can score min - 25 points, max -40 points.

- 3 theoretical issues, one correct answer to a question is worth 10 point;
- 1 practical task - the applicant of higher education is assigned from 0 to 10 points (table).

When developing evaluation criteria, the completeness and correctness of the task are taken as a basis. In addition, the ability of the student of higher education to differentiate, integrate and unify knowledge is taken into account.

Assessment scale of the practical part

| Rating, points | Evaluation criteria |
| :---: | :--- |
| $9-10$ | The practical task was completed by the student independently without <br> errors, he is able to competently justify the presented results, skillfully <br> operates with terminology based on in-depth knowledge of the material |
| $7-8$ | The practical task was completed by the student without errors, the <br> results were obtained in the justification, the student of higher education <br> demonstrated knowledge of the material from the discipline, but made <br> some insignificant errors |
| $5-6$ | The practical task is completed, but the student of higher education does <br> not know how to correctly interpret the obtained results |
| $1-4$ | The practical task was not completed in full, with significant errors |
| 0 | The student could not complete the practical task |

GRADING SCALE

| Rating <br> marks | ECTS | National scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | Module |
| $90-100$ | $\mathbf{B}$ | excellent |
| $82-89$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | good |
| $74-81$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | Fair |
| $64-73$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | Unsatisfactorily |
| $60-63$ | $\mathbf{F X}$ | Unsatisfactorily <br> (Additional work is needed) |
| $35-59$ | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |
| $0-34$ |  |  |

